Genetic mapping and manipulation: Chapter 7-Making compound mutants*

David Fay[§], Department of Molecular Biology, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming 82071-3944 USA

Table of Contents

1.	Background	. 1
2.	Making double mutants	.1
3.	Using the power of counter-selection	.3
4.	Crossing mutants into reporter lines	3
	88	

1. Background

One of the most important genetic skills is the ability to generate double- and triple-mutant strains for phenotypic and genetic analysis. These compound mutants can be used for epistasis experiments for ordering genes within a genetic pathway or for identifying genetic interactions such as Suppressor mutations or Synthetic and enhancer mutations of a given phenotype. Outlined below are a few of the basic methods used for this purpose.

2. Making double mutants

In the simplest case, two mutations (a and b) are unlinked, viable, and associated with an easily discernable plate phenotype. In this situation, it is a straightforward matter to cross one of the two strains to N2 males (or to generate a homozygous male stock from one of the mutant strains), and then cross the resultant heterozygous males to the second mutation to generate the a/+; b/+ trans-heterozygote. A B animals (1/16) can then easily be isolated in the next generation (Figure 1; strategy #1). In cases where a or b mutations do not produce an obvious phenotype or perhaps produce highly similar phenotypes, it may be highly advantageous to have one or both mutations linked to a nearby genetic marker (e.g., dpy or unc). In this case, a unc/+ males might be crossed to dpy b hermaphrodites to generate a unc/+; dpy b/+ trans-heterozygotes. Following self-fertilization, Dpy Unc progeny of the desired phenotype (a unc; dpy b) can be isolated (Figure 1; strategy #2). It is important to keep in mind that when using linked markers to follow mutations of interest, the distance between the mutation is from the visible marker will strongly affect the reliability of the approach. Namely, the farther apart the mutation is from the wisible marker. Thus it is always essential to generate several independent lines and to confirm the correct genotype either by direct sequencing of the mutant locus or by obtaining consistent results for multiple independent isolates.

^{*}Edited by Victor Ambros. Last revised April 5, 2006. Published June 14, 2006. This chapter should be cited as: Fay, D. Genetic mapping and manipulation: Chapter 7-Making compound mutants (June 14, 2006), *WormBook*, ed. The *C. elegans* Research Community, WormBook, doi/10.1895/wormbook.1.96.2, http://www.wormbook.org.

Copyright: © 2006 David Fay. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

[§]To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: davidfay@uwyo.edu



Figure 1.

The real difficulty with creating compound mutants comes when two of the mutations are on the same chromosome. In this situation, one can either take a passive or active approach. An example of the passive approach is shown in Figure 2. In essence, you create a trans-heterozygote strain where the mutations of interest are balanced by each other. Then by blindly picking sufficient animals of either mutant genotype, you can hope to isolate a low percentage of animals that throw the double-mutant chromosome as a result of a crossover event. This can be done either with or without the use of linked markers, depending on the phenotype of the individual mutants. This approach is quite effective if the two mutations aren't too closely linked and has the advantage of not necessarily requiring any special strain construction beforehand.



Figure 2.

Alternatively, if the two mutations are very closely linked, you may find it difficult or impossible to isolate double-mutant animals of the desired genotype. Here it will be best to take an active approach. For this to be feasible, however, it will require a bit of prior strain construction. Fortunately, if you have previously generated a strain containing your mutation flanked by two visible markers (e.g., for SNP mapping), you should be in a good position to begin your crosses. In this case, you will want to carry out crosses to generate a strain such as *unc a dpy/b*, where *a* and *b* are the mutations you wish to link in cis and *unc* and *dpy* are visible markers that flank *a* (Figure 3). Depending on the specific locations of the various mutations relative to each other, you will want to isolate either **Unc-A** (**non-Dpy**) or **A Dpy** (**non-Unc**) recombinant animals and then look for the presence of **Unc A B** or **B A Dpy** progeny in the next generation. This approach is best accomplished if *b* lies outside the region encompassed by *unc* and *dpy*; however, even if *b* is internal, strains of the desired genotype should almost always be obtainable if sufficient recombinants are analyzed.



Figure 3.

3. Using the power of counter-selection

Another very useful trick when working with mutations that are difficult to track based on their plate phenotype is the use of the counter-selectable marker. There are many variations to this theme, two of which are outlined in Figure 4. In both cases, a c/c; m/m double mutant is sought. In case #1, m/m animals display the phenotype **M**, whereas c/c mutants fail to display an obvious phenotype. Homozygous c/c males are crossed to m/m; *unc/unc* hermaphrodites, where the *unc* mutation is located on the same chromosome and is preferably close to the genetic position of *c*. In subsequent generations, the absence of **Unc** animals can be used to infer that *c* is probably homozygous. In case #2, m/m animals display no obvious defects, whereas c/c animals display the **C** phenotype. Here, N2 males are first crossed to the **Unc** strain to generate heterozygous *unc/+* males, which are subsequently crossed to c/c hermaphrodites, and resultant cross progeny of the genotype c/+; *unc/m* are identified. Finally, **C** animals that fail to segregate **Unc** progeny are identified indicating the desired genotype. We also note that in addition to standard genetic markers, balancer chromosomes containing integrated **GFP-expressing arrays** can be exceptionally useful for counter selection. In fact, the dominant effect of the GFP heterozygotes.



M C – does not segregate Uncs

Figure 4.

4. Crossing mutants into reporter lines

All the principles described above will apply to the generation of mutant strains containing various integrated GFP or lacZ reporters. In the case of GFP, the dominance of the phenotype (green worms) makes these experiments quite straightforward to conduct. In fact, in many cases one can distinguish directly GFP/GFP and GFP/+ animals, as the former fluoresce more brightly than their heterozygous counterparts. One issue that you may encounter is that a number of integrated GFP lines also contain the dominant *rol-6* marker. This may influence the way in which you design your crosses, as rolling males rarely mate well.

Another issue with integrated reporters is their site of insertion into the genome. Namely, if they reside on the same chromosome as your mutation of interest, it may in some cases be quite difficult to generate homozygous strains containing your mutation in cis to the integrated reporter. This problem is further exacerbated by the ability of many multi-copy integrated arrays to suppress recombination in the vicinity of their insertion site (usually to several map units), thereby rendering the construction of certain strains virtually impossible. For some integrated arrays, the insertion site may have been previously mapped to a single chromosome, although the precise location of the integration is often not known. Thus, it is typically required that one empirically determine whether or not the desired strain is obtainable by setting up the necessary crosses and following them through. As described above, it may be advantageous in certain situations to screen for recombinants (using visible markers linked to your mutation of interest) that will enable you to select for candidate strains harboring your mutation in cis to the reporter insertion. Alternatively, one can sometimes obtain strains containing the same reporter integrated at a less problematic location. In any case, one should always check to see if the integration site is known and proceed accordingly.

In some situations, extra-chromosomal arrays containing the reporter of interest may provide an acceptable alternative to the use of integrated arrays. These arrays can either be mated into the mutation of interest or created directly in the mutant background by injection methods. The obvious disadvantage to using extra-chromosomal arrays for phenotypic studies is their tendency towards somatic mosaicism, which can complicate your analysis. When using extrachromosomal arrays, make certain to perform your analysis with multiple independent lines using the appropriate controls to ensure consistency in your results.

One phenomenon to be aware of if you are mating an extra-chromosomal array into your mutant background via a male concerns the behavior of most extrachromosomal (and even some integrated) arrays during male meiosis. Namely, the arrays tend to pair and subsequently disjoin from the lone X chromosome, leading to sperm that contain either the X *or* the array, but not both. The functional consequence of this property is that the large majority of array-containing cross progeny tend to be male (~80%), which are not particularly useful if the next step in your strategy is to self the array-containing animals. Thus, it may be prudent to set up additional mating plates in these situations to ensure that sufficient array-positive hermaphrodites are obtained.

All WormBook content, except where otherwise noted, is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License.

