
RNA-binding proteins*

Min-Ho Lee^{§†}, Tim Schedl[§], Department of Genetics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110 USA

Table of Contents

1. Overview	2
2. RBPs function during germline and early embryo development	5
3. RBPs function in somatic development	6
4. RNA targets of RBPs	6
5. RNA binding specificity of RBPs	7
6. Closing remarks	8
7. Acknowledgements	8
8. References	8

Abstract

The *C. elegans* genome encodes many RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) with diverse functions in development, indicative of extensive layers of post-transcriptional control of RNA metabolism. A number of *C. elegans* RBPs have been identified by forward or reverse genetics. They tend to display tissue-specific mutant phenotypes, which underscore their functional importance. In addition, several RBPs that bind regulatory sequences in the 3'untranslated regions of mRNAs have been identified molecularly. Most *C. elegans* RBPs are conserved throughout evolution, suggesting that their study in *C. elegans* may uncover new conserved biological functions. In this review, we primarily discuss RBPs that are associated with well-characterized mutant phenotypes in the germ line, the early embryo, or in somatic tissues. We also discuss the identification of RNA targets of RBPs, which is an important first step to understand how an RBP controls *C. elegans* development. It is likely that most RBPs regulate multiple RNA targets. Once multiple RNA targets are identified, specific features that distinguish target from non-target RNAs and the type(s) of RNA metabolism that each RBP controls can be determined. Furthermore, one can determine whether the RBP regulates all targets by the same mechanism or different targets by distinct mechanisms. Such studies will provide insights into how RBPs exert coordinate control of their RNA targets, thereby affecting development in a concerted fashion.

*Edited by Thomas Blumenthal. Last revised February 8, 2005. Published April 18, 2006. This chapter should be cited as: Lee, M.-H. and Schedl, T. RNA-binding proteins (April 18, 2006), *WormBook*, ed. The *C. elegans* Research Community, WormBook, doi/10.1895/wormbook.1.79.1, <http://www.wormbook.org>.

Copyright: © 2006 Min-Ho Lee and Tim Schedl. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

[§]To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: mhlee@albany.edu or ts@genetics.wustl.edu

[†]Current address: Department of Biological Sciences, University at Albany, SUNY, Albany, NY 12222 USA

1. Overview

RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) play key roles in post-transcriptional control of RNAs, which, along with transcriptional regulation, is a major way to regulate patterns of gene expression during development. Post-transcriptional control can occur at many different steps in RNA metabolism, including splicing, polyadenylation, mRNA stability, mRNA localization and translation (Curtis et al., 1995; Wickens et al., 2000; de Moor and Richter, 2001; Johnstone and Lasko, 2001). In the *C. elegans* genome, approximately 500 genes are annotated to encode RBPs (Wormbase), as they have one or more known RNA binding domains such as the RNA Recognition Motif (RRM, also known as RBD or RNP domain), K Homology (KH) domain, Zinc finger (mainly C-x8-C-x5-C-x3-H type), RGG box, DEAD/DEAH box, Pumilio/FBF (PUF) domain, double-stranded RNA binding domain (DS-RBD), Piwi/Argonaute/Zwille (PAZ) domain, Sm domain, etc. Many RBPs have one or more copies of the same RNA binding domain while others have two or more distinct domains. Several RNA binding domains are suggestive for the molecular function of the RBP; DEAD/DEAH box for RNA helicase activity, PAZ domain for short single-stranded RNA binding in RNAi or microRNAs (miRNA) processes, and Sm domain for snRNA binding in splicing and possibly in tRNA processing. However, other domains only predict RNA binding and do not specifically indicate in which aspect of RNA metabolism they may participate. The known function of RBP homologues in other species can provide insights into RBP function in *C. elegans*. In addition, functional studies of the *C. elegans* RBPs may reveal unexpected roles for the conserved RBPs. For example, mammalian Y14 is a component of the exon-junction complex that mediates nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD; Kataoka et al., 2000; Fribourg et al., 2003; Singh and Lykke-Andersen, 2003). The *C. elegans* homologue of Y14, RPN-4, does not appear to mediate NMD, even though it is still preferentially associated with spliced mRNAs. Interestingly, *C. elegans* Y14, RPN-4, controls germline sex, suggesting that RPN-4 likely has other functions (Kawano et al., 2004).

In *C. elegans*, many RBPs have been identified genetically, which have tissue-specific mutant phenotypes caused by mutations in RBP genes. In addition, several RBPs that bind regulatory sequences in the 3' untranslated regions (3'UTRs) of mRNAs have been identified by molecular methods (Zhang et al., 1997; Jan et al., 1999; Marin and Evans, 2003; Mootz et al., 2004). Even though the mechanisms by which RBPs influence protein expression patterns in their respective tissues are still poorly understood, the association of many RBPs with mutant phenotypes underscores their importance in *C. elegans* development (Table 1). In this review, we will primarily limit our discussion to RBPs that are associated with well-characterized mutant phenotypes.

Many RBPs have been identified as essential factors during germline and early embryo development and RBPs with essential functions in the development of somatic tissues, including neuron, muscle, hypodermis, and excretory cells, as well as in the timing of development have been also identified (see Table 1). These findings indicate that RBPs and post-transcriptional control are employed in most aspects of development. The recent emergence of RBPs implicated in RNAi and miRNA processes, such as DCR-1, ALG-1/-2, PPW-1, RDE-1, and RDE-4, further emphasizing the importance of RBPs and the complexity of post-transcriptional control in *C. elegans* development (Ambros, 2003; Bartel, 2004).

Even though many RBPs have been identified as having critical roles during the development of various tissues in *C. elegans*, it is largely unclear how such RBPs control development, primarily due to the difficulty in identifying their RNA targets. Most RBPs likely have multiple RNA targets. Therefore, their mutant phenotypes may result from the mis-regulation of many RNA targets, which makes it difficult to identify individual RNA targets using classical genetic approaches. Nevertheless, it is essential to identify a majority of the RNA targets of each RBP in order to fully understand the function of the RBP. Furthermore, the identification of RBPs that bind to known regulatory sequences, such as those defined by mutations, is crucial to understanding the mechanism of post-transcriptional control mediated by such sequences.

Table 1. RNA-binding proteins associated with characterized mutant phenotypes in *C. elegans*.

RBP gene	RNA binding domain	Developmental function	Post-transcriptional function	RNA targets ¹	References
RBPs function during germline and early embryo development					
<i>gld-1</i>	Maxi-KH	Female meiotic prophase progression, germline sex determination, entry of meiotic development, germ cell apoptosis	Translational repressor	Multiple	Francis et al., 1995a; Francis et al., 1995b; Jones and Schedl, 1995; Jan et al., 1999; Clifford et al., 2000; Lee and Schedl, 2001; 2004; Xu et al., 2001; Marin and Evans, 2003; Mootz et al., 2004
<i>gld-3</i>	KH-like	Germline sex determination, germline survival, embryo development	Translational activator?	Unknown	Eckmann et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2002
<i>fbf-1/-2</i> ²	PUF	Germline sex determination, stem cell maintenance	Translational repressor	<i>fem-3, gld-1, gld-3s, fbf-1, fbf-2</i>	Zhang et al., 1997; Crittenden et al., 2002; Eckmann et al., 2004; Lamont et al., 2004
<i>puf-3</i> to <i>puf-10</i> ²	PUF	Meiotic division of primary spermatocytes (<i>puf-8</i>)	Unknown	Unknown	Lee and Schedl, 2001; Wickens et al., 2001; Subramaniam and Seydoux, 2003
<i>nos-1/-2</i>	Nanos RNA binding	Germline survival (with <i>nos-3</i> ?)	Unknown	Unknown	Kraemer et al., 1999; Subramaniam and Seydoux, 1999
<i>nos-3</i>	Nanos RNA binding	Germline sex determination, entry of meiotic development	Translational activator?	<i>gld-1</i> ?	Kraemer et al., 1999; Hansen et al., 2004
<i>daz-1</i>	RRM	Female meiotic prophase progression	Unknown	Unknown	Karashima et al., 2000
<i>oma-1/-2</i> (<i>moe-1/-2</i>)	Zn-finger (C-x8-C-x5-C-x3-H type)	Oocyte maturation	Unknown	Unknown	Detwiler et al., 2001; Shimada et al., 2002
<i>pgl-1</i>	RGG box	P-Granule maintenance, early germ cell proliferation/differentiation	Unknown	Unknown	Kawasaki et al., 1998
<i>glh-1</i> to <i>glh-4</i>	DEAD/DEAH box, Zinc knuckle (CCHC)	Oogenesis and spermatogenesis (<i>glh-1</i> and <i>glh-4</i> redundantly)	RNA helicase	Unknown	Gruidl et al., 1996; Kuznicki et al., 2000
<i>pie-1</i>	Zn-finger (C-x8-C-x5-C-x3-H type)	Embryonic germline specification and maintenance	Translational activator?	<i>nos-2</i> ?	Mello et al., 1992; Mello et al., 1996; Tenenhaus et al., 2001
<i>mex-3</i>	KH	Specifying the fate of the germ line	Translational repressor?	<i>pal-1</i>	Draper et al., 1996; Huang et al., 2002;

RBP gene	RNA binding domain	Developmental function	Post-transcriptional function	RNA targets ¹	References
		blastomere and control anterior blastomere in early embryo			Mootz et al., 2004
<i>mex-5/-6</i>	Zn-finger (C-x8-C-x5-C-x3-H type)	Soma/germline asymmetry in early embryo	Unknown	Unknown	Schubert et al., 2000
<i>pos-1</i>	Zn-finger (C-x8-C-x5-C-x3-H type)	Specifying the fate of the germline blastomere in early embryo	Translational repressor	<i>glp-1</i>	Tabara et al., 1999a; Ogura et al., 2003
<i>spn-4</i>	RRM	Soma/germline asymmetry in early embryo	Translational activator	<i>glp-1</i>	Gomes et al., 2001; Ogura et al., 2003
<i>fog-1 (cpb-4)</i>	RRM, Zn-finger (C2H2 type)	Gemline sex determination	Cytoplasmic poly(A) element binding?	<i>fog-1?</i>	Luitjens et al., 2000; Jin et al., 2001a; 2001b
<i>cpb-1</i>	RRM, Zn-finger (C2H2 type)	Spermatogenesis	Cytoplasmic poly(A) element binding?	Unknown	Luitjens et al., 2000
<i>mog-1/-4/-5</i>	DEAD/DEAH box	Gemline sex determination, embryo development	RNA helicase	Unknown	Puoti and Kimble, 1999; Puoti and Kimble, 2000
<i>mp-4 (Ce-Y14)</i>	RRM	Gemline sex determination, embryo development	Exon-junction complex?	Unknown	Kawano et al., 2004
RBPs function in RNAi/miRNA processes (germ line and soma)					
<i>dcr-1</i>	DEAD/DEAH box, DS-RBD, PAZ	Processing of pre-miRNA and long dsRNA, oogenesis	RNase endonuclease	Pre-miRNA, dsRNA	Grishok et al., 2001; Ketting et al., 2001; Knight and Bass, 2001
<i>alg-1/-2</i>	PAZ	miRNA dependent translational repression and RNAi	RISC complex	Short ssRNA	Grishok et al., 2001
<i>rde-1</i>	PAZ	miRNA dependent translational repression and RNAi	RISC complex	Short ssRNA	Tabara et al., 1999b; Tabara et al., 2002
<i>ppw-1</i>	PAZ	Germline RNAi	RISC complex	Short ssRNA	Tijsterman et al., 2002
RBPs function in somatic development					
RBP function in primary sex determination					
<i>fox-1</i>	RRM	Somatic sex determination, X-chromosome counting	Unknown	Unknown	Nicoll et al., 1997; Skipper et al., 1999
RBPs function in neuromuscular system					
<i>unc-75</i>	RRM	Fine tuning of synaptic transmission	Putative splicing factor	Unknown	Loria et al., 2003
<i>exc-7</i>	RRM	Fine tuning of synaptic transmission	Putative splicing factor	Unknown	Loria et al., 2003

RBP gene	RNA binding domain	Developmental function	Post-transcriptional function	RNA targets ¹	References
<i>etr-1</i>	RRM	Muscle formation and function	Unknown	Unknown	Milne and Hodgkin, 1999
<i>mec-8</i>	RRM	Specific alternative splices of <i>unc-52</i> transcripts in hypodermis	Putative splicing factor	<i>unc-52?</i>	Lundquist et al., 1996; Spike et al., 2002
<i>msi-1</i>	RRM	Male mating behavior	Unknown	Unknown	Yoda et al., 2000
RBP function in excretory cells					
<i>exc-7</i>	RRM	Excretory canals development.	Putative splicing factor	<i>sma-1</i>	Fujita et al., 2003
RBP function as a heterochronic regulator					
<i>lin-28</i>	Cold Shock Domain, Zinc knuckle (CCHC)	A regulator of developmental timing in the first larval stage	Unknown	Unknown	Moss et al., 1997; Moss and Tang, 2003
Footnotes					
Proteins known to bind RBPs and regulate RNA metabolism such as <i>GLD-2</i> (Wang et al., 2002; Eckmann et al., 2004) and <i>ATX-2</i> (Kiehl et al., 2000; Ciosk et al., 2004) are not included in this table.					
¹ Only characterized RNA targets are listed. However, most RBPs likely have additional RNA targets. RNA targets with “?” indicate that the direct relationship between RBPs and RNA targets has not yet been proven.					
² <i>C. elegans</i> has ~10 <i>puf</i> genes while <i>Drosophila</i> and mammals have fewer, suggesting that an expansion in the <i>puf</i> family has occurred in <i>C. elegans</i> . Each PUF may have unique function while different combinations of PUF proteins have redundant functions, suggesting that each PUF likely has its own RNA targets and some of the targets may be regulated by more than one PUF.					

2. RBPs function during germline and early embryo development

Many RBPs have essential functions during late germline and early embryo development (Table 1) because post-transcriptional control of maternal mRNAs is a predominant mechanism for temporal/spatial regulation of gene expression during this period (Wickens et al., 2000; de Moor and Richter, 2001; Kuersten and Goodwin, 2003). In general, RBPs bind regulatory sequences that are usually located in 5'UTR and/or 3'UTR of the mRNAs to exert post-transcriptional regulation. During meiotic prophase progression, chromosomes become condensed at diakinesis, and the genome becomes transcriptionally silent (Gibert et al., 1984; Schisa et al., 2001; Kelly et al., 2002). Therefore, during the process of late oogenesis (and late spermatogenesis), fertilization/ meiotic divisions, and early embryogenesis, post-transcriptional control of pre-existing mRNAs, mainly through localization and translational regulation, is the predominant mechanism regulating protein expression. However, post-transcriptional control in the germline also occurs during periods of active transcription (distal mitotic region through the pachytene stage; Crittenden et al., 2002; Marin and Evans, 2003; Hansen et al., 2004; Lee and Schedl, 2001, 2004).

As in other systems, RBP regulatory networks are beginning to be uncovered. For example, *FBF-1/-2* are repressors while *NOS-3* functions redundantly with a poly-A polymerase, *GLD-2*, as a putative direct activator of *gld-1* mRNA translation (Crittenden et al., 2002; Hansen et al., 2004). *GLD-1* in turn is a putative repressor of *mex-3* mRNA translation and *MEX-3* and *GLD-1* are spatially non-overlapping repressors of the translation of the *pal-1* mRNA (Mootz et al., 2004). Interestingly, a number of RBPs and some specific mRNAs are localized, at least transiently, to germline specific granules, P granules (Schisa et al., 2001; Barbee et al., 2002 and references therein). However, the function of these associations, particularly in regard to post-transcriptional control, remains to be understood.

Germline sex determination and the proliferation vs. meiotic development decision (stem cell maintenance) rely heavily on post-transcriptional mechanisms in the control of these processes (Table 1). Interestingly, the RBPs, *GLD-1*, *GLD-3*, *FBF-1/-2*, and *NOS-3* function in both processes (Francis et al., 1995a; Francis et al., 1995b; Jones

and Schedl, 1995; Zhang et al., 1997; Kraemer et al., 1999; Crittenden et al., 2002; Eckmann et al., 2002; Hansen et al., 2004). It is unclear at this time whether the observation that these RBPs function in both processes is due to (1) a temporal/spatial regulatory coordination between the sex determination and the proliferation vs. meiosis decisions or (2) the various RBPs having numerous mRNA targets, and the sex determination and the proliferation vs. meiosis phenotypes are just the processes that have been uncovered genetically so far. Other RBPs are known to function in regulating the sex determination decision, such as *FOG-1*, *MOG-1/-4/-5*, and *RNP-4* (Ce-Y14) (Puoti et al., 1999, 2000; Jin et al., 2001a; Kawano et al., 2004). It is currently unknown if they also function in the proliferation vs. meiotic development decision.

Several RBPs have been shown to function in meiotic prophase progression, gametogenesis and oocyte maturation such as *GLD-1*, *GLD-3*, *DAZ-1*, *OMA-1/-2*, *PGL-1*, and *GLH-1/-2/-3/-4*. Others (*MEX-3*, *PIE-1*, *POS-1*, *GLD-1* and *SPN-4*) have also been implicated in regulating aspects of early embryo development. These RBPs likely regulate the temporal and spatial pattern of protein expression of subsets of maternal mRNAs, which is crucial in the absence of *de novo* mRNA synthesis.

3. RBPs function in somatic development

Post-transcriptional control is also important in somatic development as a number of RBPs that have somatic tissue-specific mutant phenotypes have been identified genetically (Table 1). Unlike RBPs that function in germline and early embryo development, several RBPs essential for somatic development are proposed to act as splicing factors, presumably regulating tissue-specific alternative splicing of their mRNA targets. For example, two RRM domain-containing RBPs, *MEC-8* and *UNC-75*, localize to nuclear speckles in the hypodermis and nervous system, respectively (Spike et al., 2002; Loria et al., 2003), consistent with a role in pre-mRNA splicing. Furthermore, *MEC-8* regulates the alternative splicing of *unc-52* pre-mRNA primarily in the hyperdermis (Spike et al., 2002). Although this regulation is likely direct, it has not yet been proved. In addition, other *mec-8* loss-of-function phenotypes are likely independent of *unc-52* function, suggesting that *MEC-8* regulates additional pre-mRNAs. Another RRM domain-containing RBP, *EXC-7*, is nuclear localized in embryonic excretory canal cells and throughout the nervous system and is proposed to regulate its mRNA targets through the control of splicing and/or stability (Fujita et al., 2003; Loria et al., 2003).

Somatic RBPs are not limited to regulating RNA processing in the nucleus, as two other somatic RBPs, *MSI-1* and *LIN-28*, are enriched in the cytoplasm (Moss et al., 1997; Yoda et al., 2000). RNAi and miRNA dependent regulation occur during both germline and somatic development and recent discoveries of RBPs functioning in RNAi and miRNA processes, such as *DCR-1*, *ALG-1/-2*, *PPW-1*, *RDE-1*, and *RDE-4* (Table 1), has provided a glimpse into understanding this new mode of post-transcriptional control in *C. elegans* development.

4. RNA targets of RBPs

Several approaches have been taken to identify RNA targets of RBPs or to identify RBPs that bind to known regulatory sequences (Lundquist et al., 1996; Zhang et al., 1997; Jan et al., 1999; Lee and Schedl, 2001; Xu et al., 2001; Fujita et al., 2003; Marin and Evans, 2003; Mootz et al., 2004). In general, genetic analysis indicates that the RBPs must regulate multiple RNA targets because loss of an RBP has more pleiotropic mutant phenotypes than mis-regulations of the known mRNA targets. For example, *fbf-1/-2* loss-of-function phenotype suggests that *FBF-1/-2* must bind to other mRNAs to maintain germline stem cells in addition to binding *fem-3* mRNA to regulate germline sex. Indeed, *FBF-1/-2* have been shown to bind and repress the translation of *gld-1* mRNA to regulate germline stem cell proliferation (Crittenden et al., 2002). These results support the view that a comprehensive understanding of how such RBPs control development requires the identification of many or all of their mRNA targets. The identification of multiple mRNA targets will allow one to identify sequences and/or structures that distinguish target from non-target RNAs, as well to determine the type of RNA metabolism the RBP controls.

RNA targets of RBPs have been identified by candidate gene approaches (“educated guess”), as genes that encode putative targets that have similar (or opposite) mutant phenotypes to that of RBP, and/or by studying the expression patterns of the RBPs and the proteins of their putative mRNA targets. For example, 1) Mutations in *mec-8* strongly enhance the phenotype of specific mutations in *unc-52* and *MEC-8* controls alternative splicing of *unc-52* transcripts (Lundquist et al., 1996; Spike et al., 2002). 2) *exc-7* mutants exhibit synergistic excretory canal defects with mutations in *sma-1* and *EXC-7* binds to *sma-1* mRNA (Fujita et al., 2003). 3) *GLP-1* is mis-expressed in *pos-1* mutant embryos and *POS-1* binds to the *glp-1* 3'UTR (Ogura et al., 2003). 4) *GLD-1* is a cytoplasmic RNA binding protein that represses the translation of several mRNA targets in the distal germline. It was also known that *mes-3* and *pal-1* mRNAs are translationally repressed in the distal germ line. Therefore it was directly tested and

confirmed that *GLD-1* binds and represses the translation of *mes-3* and *pal-1* mRNAs (Xu et al., 2001; Mootz et al., 2004). Because indirect regulation by the RBP also fits the criteria used to predict the candidate mRNA target, these approaches require the experimental test of whether the RBP binds to the candidate mRNA target directly.

Gain-of-function mutations in the *fem-3* and the *tra-2* 3'UTRs (Ahringer and Kimble, 1991; Goodwin et al., 1993), which result in deregulated expression, provided a starting point for molecular identification of RBPs that function in translational repression of these mRNAs. For example, *FBF-1/-2* were identified by the yeast three-hybrid system using the 3'UTR of *fem-3* mRNA as a bait. *FBF-1/-2* bind to the 3'UTR of *fem-3* to repress the male sexual fate in the *C. elegans* hermaphrodite germline (Zhang et al., 1997). *GLD-1* was found to bind to the *tra-2* 3'UTR, also by using the yeast three-hybrid system (Jan et al., 1999). *GLD-1* was also identified as binding to the *glp-1* 3'UTR biochemically (Marin and Evans, 2003).

More direct methods to identify multiple endogenous RNAs associated with RBPs using high-throughput gene array technologies have been described (Tenenbaum et al., 2000, 2002; Brown et al., 2001). They are based on the isolation of endogenous RNA-protein complexes under optimized conditions mostly by immunoprecipitation (IP). The isolated endogenous RNAs are then identified by microarray analysis. This approach has the potential to identify most RNA targets of an RBP without prior knowledge of mutant phenotypes or expression patterns. Mis-regulations of such RNA targets may have small effects or contributions to phenotypes of the RBP. This approach can also uncover mRNA targets from functionally redundant paralagous genes that are co-regulated by an RBP (Lee and Schedl, 2001, 2004).

Sixteen mRNA targets of *GLD-1* have been identified by a similar approach (Lee and Schedl, 2001, 2004). Functional *GLD-1* was immunoprecipitated from cytosol extracts. The isolated mRNAs were identified after subtractive hybridization/cloning/sequencing. *GLD-1* represses the translation of several targets through direct binding (Lee and Schedl, 2001, 2004). Recently, an essentially identical experiment was performed with microarray analysis to detect specifically enriched mRNAs in the *GLD-1* IP. This resulted in the identification of significantly more mRNAs (129), which are enriched more than two fold ($p < 0.05$) in the *GLD-1* IP over the control IP (Lee, M.-H., Reinke, V., and Schedl, T., unpubl. data). Essentially, all targets identified previously were identified again. These and other results indicate that most of the 129 mRNA targets are likely to interact with *GLD-1* *in vivo* and demonstrate that there is a significant increase in mRNA target detection power with the microarray analysis compared with the subtractive hybridization/cloning/sequencing strategy.

Several efforts are currently undertaken to identify *in vivo* mRNA targets of RBPs, *PIE-1* (D'Agostino, I., Reinke, V., and Seydoux, G., pers. comm.), *DAZ-1* (Karashima, T., Sugioka, K., Uesugi, K., Kohara, Y., and Yamamoto, M., pers. comm.), and *LIN-28* (Moss, E. and Kemper, K., pers. comm.), or to identify *in vivo* mRNA targets of a putative cytoplasmic poly-A polymerase, *GLD-2*, that interacts with RBPs (Suh, N. and Kimble, J. pers. comm.).

5. RNA binding specificity of RBPs

One of the important questions to understand the function of RBPs in *C. elegans* development is how RBPs distinguish their targets from non-targets *in vivo*. In other words, how RBPs specifically recognize their RNA targets. RBPs may recognize specific sequences, structures, or both, which are present in their RNA targets. Understanding of RNA binding specificity of an RBP can be another way to identify unknown targets that contain similar features, if they have enough information to distinguish targets from non-targets computationally. It will also provide important tools to find the molecular mechanism of the post-transcriptional process that the RBP controls. RNA binding specificity of most RBPs is unknown because their RNA targets are not identified yet. However, RNA binding specificity of *GLD-1* and *FBF-1/-2* in their mRNA targets are beginning to emerge.

GLD-1 has been shown to form a homodimer to bind to two close sites in a single TGE (*tra-2*/GLI element) of *tra-2* 3'UTR *in vitro* where one site has a hexanucleotide consensus (Ryder et al., 2004). Among other mRNA targets of *GLD-1* identified in the *GLD-1* IP, thirteen independent *GLD-1* binding regions in seven targets have been found where *GLD-1* binds 5'UTR, 3'UTR or in the open reading frame (ORF) depending on the target (Lee and Schedl, 2001, 2004, unpubl. data). Interestingly, many *GLD-1* binding regions have the hexanucleotide consensus, suggesting it is likely important for *GLD-1* binding. However, several *GLD-1* binding regions do not contain the hexanucleotide consensus, suggesting that other distinct features that dictate *GLD-1* binding likely exist (Lee, M.-H., and Schedl, T., unpubl. data).

FBF-1/-2 have been shown to bind to sites that carry crucial UGU(G/A) motif in the 3'UTRs of their mRNA targets (Zhang et al., 1997; Crittenden et al., 2002; Eckmann et al., 2004; Lamont et al., 2004). It is interesting to note that FBF-1/-2 do not bind to all potential sites that contain UGU(G/A) motif in the 3'UTRs of their mRNA targets (Eckmann et al., 2004; Lamont et al., 2004). This suggests that this motif alone is not sufficient to be recognized by FBF-1/-2 and other distinct features should exist.

6. Closing remarks

The *C. elegans* genome encodes many RBPs with diverse functions in development, revealing a large layer of post-transcriptional control of RNA metabolism, which had not been previously appreciated in the control of gene expression. Most RBPs with tissue-specific functions are conserved throughout evolution, suggesting that their studies in *C. elegans* may uncover new conserved biological functions.

In general, RBPs likely regulate multiple RNA targets and the identification of many or all RNA targets of RBPs will be an important first step in a comprehensive understanding of how RBPs control *C. elegans* development. With multiple RNA targets, specific features that distinguish target from non-target RNAs, as well as the type(s) of RNA metabolism that each RBP controls can be determined. Furthermore, with the identity of multiple RNA targets, one can determine whether the RBP regulates all targets by the same mechanism or different targets by distinct mechanisms. Such studies will provide insights into how RBPs exert coordinate control of their RNA targets, thereby affecting development in a concerted fashion.

7. Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Sudhir Nayak for providing information about genes that are annotated to encode RNA-binding proteins in Wormbase and Dave Hansen and members of the Schedl lab for comments on this review. TS is supported by NIH grant GM63310.

8. References

- Ahringer, J., and Kimble, J. (1991). Control of the sperm-oocyte switch in *Caenorhabditis elegans* hermaphrodites by the *fem-3* 3' untranslated region. *Nature* *349*, 346–348. [Abstract Article](#)
- Ambros, V. (2003). MicroRNA pathways in flies and worms: growth, death, fat, stress, and timing. *Cell* *113*, 673–676. [Abstract Article](#)
- Barbee, S.A., Lublin, A.L., and Evans, T.C. (2002). A novel function for the Sm proteins in germ granule localization during *C. elegans* embryogenesis. *Curr. Biol.* *12*, 1502–1506. [Abstract Article](#)
- Bartel, D.P. (2004). MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. *Cell* *116*, 281–297. [Abstract Article](#)
- Brown, V., Jin, P., Ceman, S., Darnell, J.C., O'Donnell, W.T., Tenenbaum, S.A., Jin, X., Feng, Y., Wilkinson, K.D., Keene, J.D., et al. (2001). Microarray identification of FMRP-associated brain mRNAs and altered mRNA translational profiles in fragile X syndrome. *Cell* *107*, 477–487. [Abstract Article](#)
- Ciosk, R., DePalma, M., and Priess, J.R. (2004). *ATX-2*, the *C. elegans* ortholog of ataxin 2, functions in translational regulation in the germline. *Development* *131*, 4831–4841. [Abstract Article](#)
- Clifford, R., Lee, M.H., Nayak, S., Ohmachi, M., Giorgini, F., and Schedl, T. (2000). *FOG-2*, a novel F-box containing protein, associates with the *GLD-1* RNA binding protein and directs male sex determination in the *C. elegans* hermaphrodite germline. *Development* *127*, 5265–5276. [Abstract](#)
- Crittenden, S.L., Bernstein, D.S., Bachorik, J.L., Thompson, B.E., Gallegos, M., Petcherski, A.G., Moulder, G., Barstead, R., Wickens, M., and Kimble, J. (2002). A conserved RNA-binding protein controls germline stem cells in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature* *417*, 660–663. [Abstract Article](#)

Curtis, D., Lehmann, R., and Zamore, P.D. (1995). Translational regulation in development. *Cell* 81, 171–178. [Abstract Article](#)

de Moor, C.T., and Richter, J.D. (2001). Translational control in vertebrate development. In *Cell lineage specification and patterning of the embryo*, L.D. Etkin, and K.W. Jeon, eds. (San Diego, Academic Press), pp. 567–608. [Abstract](#)

Detwiler, M.R., Reuben, M., Li, X., Rogers, E., and Lin, R. (2001). Two zinc finger proteins, *OMA-1* and *OMA-2*, are redundantly required for oocyte maturation in *C. elegans*. *Dev. Cell* 1, 187–199. [Abstract Article](#)

Draper, B.W., Mello, C.C., Bowerman, B., Hardin, J., and Priess, J.R. (1996). *MEX-3* is a KH domain protein that regulates blastomere identity in early *C. elegans* embryos. *Cell* 87, 205–216. [Abstract Article](#)

Eckmann, C.R., Crittenden, S.L., Suh, N., and Kimble, J. (2004). *GLD-3* and control of the mitosis/meiosis decision in the germline of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* 168, 147–160. [Abstract Article](#)

Eckmann, C.R., Kraemer, B., Wickens, M., and Kimble, J. (2002). *GLD-3*, a bicaudal-C homolog that inhibits FBF to control germline sex determination in *C. elegans*. *Dev. Cell* 3, 697–710. [Abstract Article](#)

Francis, R., Barton, M.K., Kimble, J., and Schedl, T. (1995a). *gld-1*, a tumor suppressor gene required for oocyte development in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* 139, 579–606. [Abstract](#)

Francis, R., Maine, E., and Schedl, T. (1995b). Analysis of the multiple roles of *gld-1* in germline development: interactions with the sex determination cascade and the *glp-1* signaling pathway. *Genetics* 139, 607–630. [Abstract](#)

Fribourg, S., Gatfield, D., Izaurralde, E., and Conti, E. (2003). A novel mode of RBD-protein recognition in the Y14-Mago complex. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 10, 433–439. [Abstract Article](#)

Fujita, M., Hawkinson, D., King, K.V., Hall, D.H., Sakamoto, H., and Buechner, M. (2003). The role of the ELAV homologue *EXC-7* in the development of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* excretory canals. *Dev. Biol.* 256, 290–301. [Abstract Article](#)

Gibert, M.A., Starck, J., and Beguet, B. (1984). Role of the gonad cytoplasmic core during oogenesis of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Biol. Cell* 50, 77–85. [Abstract](#)

Gomes, J.E., Encalada, S.E., Swan, K.A., Shelton, C.A., Carter, J.C., and Bowerman, B. (2001). The maternal gene *spn-4* encodes a predicted RRM protein required for mitotic spindle orientation and cell fate patterning in early *C. elegans* embryos. *Development* 128, 4301–4314. [Abstract](#)

Goodwin, E.B., Okkema, P.G., Evans, T.C., and Kimble, J. (1993). Translational regulation of *tra-2* by its 3' untranslated region controls sexual identity in *C. elegans*. *Cell* 75, 329–339. [Abstract Article](#)

Grishok, A., Pasquinelli, A.E., Conte, D., Li, N., Parrish, S., Ha, I., Baillie, D.L., Fire, A., Ruvkun, G., and Mello, C.C. (2001). Genes and mechanisms related to RNA interference regulate expression of the small temporal RNAs that control *C. elegans* developmental timing. *Cell* 106, 23–34. [Abstract Article](#)

Gruidl, M.E., Smith, P.A., Kuznicki, K.A., McCrone, J.S., Kirchner, J., Roussell, D.L., Strome, S., and Bennett, K.L. (1996). Multiple potential germ-line helicases are components of the germ-line-specific P granules of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 93, 13837–13842. [Abstract Article](#)

Hansen, D., Wilson-Berry, L., Dang, T., and Schedl, T. (2004). Control of the proliferation versus meiotic development decision in the *C. elegans* germline through regulation of *GLD-1* protein accumulation. *Development* 131, 93–104. [Abstract Article](#)

Huang, N.N., Mootz, D.E., Walhout, A.J., Vidal, M., and Hunter, C.P. (2002). *MEX-3* interacting proteins link cell polarity to asymmetric gene expression in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Development* 129, 747–759. [Abstract](#)

Jan, E., Motzny, C.K., Graves, L.E., and Goodwin, E.B. (1999). The STAR protein, *GLD-1*, is a translational regulator of sexual identity in *C. elegans*. *EMBO J.* 18, 258–269. [Abstract Article](#)

- Jin, S.W., Arno, N., Cohen, A., Shah, A., Xu, Q., Chen, N., and Ellis, R.E. (2001a). In *Caenorhabditis elegans*, the RNA-binding domains of the cytoplasmic polyadenylation element binding protein **FOG-1** are needed to regulate germ cell fates. *Genetics* *159*, 1617–1630. [Abstract Article](#)
- Jin, S.W., Kimble, J., and Ellis, R.E. (2001b). Regulation of cell fate in *Caenorhabditis elegans* by a novel cytoplasmic polyadenylation element binding protein. *Dev. Biol.* *229*, 537–553. [Abstract Article](#)
- Johnstone, O., and Lasko, P. (2001). Translational regulation and RNA localization in *Drosophila* oocytes and embryos. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* *35*, 365–406. [Abstract Article](#)
- Jones, A.R., and Schedl, T. (1995). Mutations in *gld-1*, a female germ cell-specific tumor suppressor gene in *Caenorhabditis elegans*, affect a conserved domain also found in Src-associated protein Sam68. *Genes Dev.* *9*, 1491–1504. [Abstract](#)
- Karashima, T., Sugimoto, A., and Yamamoto, M. (2000). *Caenorhabditis elegans* homologue of the human azoospermia factor DAZ is required for oogenesis but not for spermatogenesis. *Development* *127*, 1069–1079. [Abstract](#)
- Kataoka, N., Yong, J., Kim, V.N., Velazquez, F., Perkinson, R.A., Wang, F., and Dreyfuss, G. (2000). Pre-mRNA splicing imprints mRNA in the nucleus with a novel RNA-binding protein that persists in the cytoplasm. *Mol. Cell* *6*, 673–682. [Abstract Article](#)
- Kawano, T., Kataoka, N., Dreyfuss, G., and Sakamoto, H. (2004). Ce-Y14 and **MAG-1**, components of the exon-exon junction complex, are required for embryogenesis and germline sexual switching in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Mech. Dev.* *121*, 27–35. [Abstract Article](#)
- Kawasaki, I., Shim, Y.H., Kirchner, J., Kaminker, J., Wood, W.B., and Strome, S. (1998). **PGL-1**, a predicted RNA-binding component of germ granules, is essential for fertility in *C. elegans*. *Cell* *94*, 635–645. [Abstract Article](#)
- Kelly, W.G., Schaner, C.E., Dernburg, A.F., Lee, M.H., Kim, S.K., Villeneuve, A.M., and Reinke, V. (2002). X-chromosome silencing in the germline of *C. elegans*. *Development* *129*, 479–492. [Abstract](#)
- Ketting, R.F., Fischer, S.E., Bernstein, E., Sijen, T., Hannon, G.J., and Plasterk, R.H. (2001). Dicer functions in RNA interference and in synthesis of small RNA involved in developmental timing in *C. elegans*. *Genes Dev.* *15*, 2654–2659. [Abstract Article](#)
- Kiehl, T.R., Shibata, H., and Pulst, S.M. (2000). The ortholog of human ataxin-2 is essential for early embryonic patterning in *C. elegans*. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* *15*, 231–241. [Abstract Article](#)
- Knight, S.W., and Bass, B.L. (2001). A role for the RNase III enzyme **DCR-1** in RNA interference and germ line development in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Science.* *293*, 2269–2271. [Abstract Article](#)
- Kraemer, B., Crittenden, S., Gallegos, M., Moulder, G., Barstead, R., Kimble, J., and Wickens, M. (1999). NANOS-3 and FBF proteins physically interact to control the sperm- oocyte switch in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Curr. Biol.* *9*, 1009–1018. [Abstract Article](#)
- Kuersten, S., and Goodwin, E.B. (2003). The power of the 3' UTR: translational control and development. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* *4*, 626–637. [Abstract Article](#)
- Kuznicki, K.A., Smith, P.A., Leung-Chiu, W.M., Estevez, A.O., Scott, H.C., and Bennett, K.L. (2000). Combinatorial RNA interference indicates **GLH-4** can compensate for **GLH-1**; these two P granule components are critical for fertility in *C. elegans*. *Development* *127*, 2907–2916. [Abstract](#)
- Lamont, L.B., Crittenden, S.L., Bernstein, D., Wickens, M., and Kimble, J. (2004). **FBF-1** and **FBF-2** regulate the size of the mitotic region in the *C. elegans* germline. *Dev. Cell* *7*, 697–707. [Abstract Article](#)
- Lee, M.H., and Schedl, T. (2001). Identification of *in vivo* mRNA targets of **GLD-1**, a maxi-KH motif containing protein required for *C. elegans* germ cell development. *Genes Dev.* *15*, 2408–2420. [Abstract Article](#)

- Lee, M.H., and Schedl, T. (2004). Translation repression by **GLD-1** protects its mRNA targets from nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in *C. elegans*. *Genes Dev.* *18*, 1047–1059. [Abstract Article](#)
- Loria, P.M., Duke, A., Rand, J.B., and Hobert, O. (2003). Two neuronal, nuclear-localized RNA binding proteins involved in synaptic transmission. *Curr. Biol.* *13*, 1317–1323. [Abstract Article](#)
- Luitjens, C., Gallegos, M., Kraemer, B., Kimble, J., and Wickens, M. (2000). CPEB proteins control two key steps in spermatogenesis in *C. elegans*. *Genes Dev.* *14*, 2596–2609. [Abstract Article](#)
- Lundquist, E.A., Herman, R.K., Rogalski, T.M., Mullen, G.P., Moerman, D.G., and Shaw, J.E. (1996). The *mec-8* gene of *C. elegans* encodes a protein with two RNA recognition motifs and regulates alternative splicing of *unc-52* transcripts. *Development* *122*, 1601–1610. [Abstract](#)
- Marin, V.A., and Evans, T.C. (2003). Translational repression of a *C. elegans* Notch mRNA by the STAR/KH domain protein **GLD-1**. *Development* *130*, 2623–2632. [Abstract Article](#)
- Mello, C.C., Draper, B.W., Krause, M., Weintraub, H., and Priess, J.R. (1992). The *pie-1* and *mex-1* genes and maternal control of blastomere identity in early *C. elegans* embryos. *Cell* *70*, 163–176. [Abstract Article](#)
- Mello, C.C., Schubert, C., Draper, B., Zhang, W., Lobel, R., and Priess, J.R. (1996). The **PIE-1** protein and germline specification in *C. elegans* embryos. *Nature* *382*, 710–712. [Abstract Article](#)
- Milne, C.A., and Hodgkin, J. (1999). **ETR-1**, a homologue of a protein linked to myotonic dystrophy, is essential for muscle development in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Curr. Biol.* *9*, 1243–1246. [Abstract Article](#)
- Mootz, D., Ho, D.M., and Hunter, C.P. (2004). The STAR/Maxi-KH domain protein **GLD-1** mediates a developmental switch in the translational control of *C. elegans* **PAL-1**. *Development* *131*, 3263–3272. [Abstract Article](#)
- Moss, E.G., Lee, R.C., and Ambros, V. (1997). The cold shock domain protein **LIN-28** controls developmental timing in *C. elegans* and is regulated by the *lin-4* RNA. *Cell* *88*, 637–646. [Abstract](#)
- Moss, E.G., and Tang, L. (2003). Conservation of the heterochronic regulator Lin-28, its developmental expression and microRNA complementary sites. *Dev. Biol.* *258*, 432–442. [Abstract Article](#)
- Nicoll, M., Akerib, C.C., and Meyer, B.J. (1997). X-chromosome-counting mechanisms that determine nematode sex. *Nature* *388*, 200–204. [Abstract Article](#)
- Ogura, K., Kishimoto, N., Mitani, S., Gengyo-Ando, K., and Kohara, Y. (2003). Translational control of maternal *glp-1* mRNA by **POS-1** and its interacting protein **SPN-4** in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Development* *130*, 2495–2503. [Abstract Article](#)
- Puoti, A., and Kimble, J. (1999). The *Caenorhabditis elegans* sex determination gene *mog-1* encodes a member of the DEAH-Box protein family. *Mol. Cell Biol.* *19*, 2189–2197. [Abstract](#)
- Puoti, A., and Kimble, J. (2000). The hermaphrodite sperm/oocyte switch requires the *Caenorhabditis elegans* homologs of PRP2 and PRP22. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* *97*, 3276–3281. [Abstract Article](#)
- Ryder, S.P., Frater, L.A., Abramovitz, D.L., Goodwin, E.B., and Williamson, J.R. (2004). RNA target specificity of the STAR/GSG domain post-transcriptional regulatory protein **GLD-1**. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* *11*, 20–28. [Abstract Article](#)
- Schisa, J.A., Pitt, J.N., and Priess, J.R. (2001). Analysis of RNA associated with P granules in germ cells of *C. elegans* adults. *Development* *128*, 1287–1298. [Abstract](#)
- Schubert, C.M., Lin, R., de Vries, C.J., Plasterk, R.H., and Priess, J.R. (2000). **MEX-5** and **MEX-6** function to establish soma/germline asymmetry in early *C. elegans* embryos. *Mol. Cell* *5*, 671–682. [Abstract Article](#)

- Shimada, M., Kawahara, H., and Doi, H. (2002). Novel family of CCCH-type zinc-finger proteins, MOE-1, -2 and -3, participates in *C. elegans* oocyte maturation. *Genes Cells* 7, 933–947. [Abstract Article](#)
- Singh, G., and Lykke-Andersen, J. (2003). New insights into the formation of active nonsense-mediated decay complexes. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 28, 464–466. [Abstract Article](#)
- Skipper, M., Milne, C.A., and Hodgkin, J. (1999). Genetic and molecular analysis of *fox-1*, a numerator element involved in *Caenorhabditis elegans* primary sex determination. *Genetics* 151, 617–631. [Abstract](#)
- Spike, C.A., Davies, A.G., Shaw, J.E., and Herman, R.K. (2002). MEC-8 regulates alternative splicing of *unc-52* transcripts in *C. elegans* hypodermal cells. *Development* 129, 4999–5008. [Abstract](#)
- Subramaniam, K., and Seydoux, G. (1999). *nos-1* and *nos-2*, two genes related to *Drosophila nanos*, regulate primordial germ cell development and survival in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Development* 126, 4861–4871. [Abstract](#)
- Subramaniam, K., and Seydoux, G. (2003). Dedifferentiation of primary spermatocytes into germ cell tumors in *C. elegans* lacking the pumilio-like protein PUF-8. *Curr. Biol.* 13, 134–139. [Abstract Article](#)
- Tabara, H., Hill, R.J., Mello, C.C., Priess, J.R., and Kohara, Y. (1999a). *pos-1* encodes a cytoplasmic zinc-finger protein essential for germline specification in *C. elegans*. *Development* 126, 1–11. [Abstract](#)
- Tabara, H., Sarkissian, M., Kelly, W.G., Fleenor, J., Grishok, A., Timmons, L., Fire, A., and Mello, C.C. (1999b). The *rde-1* gene, RNA interference, and transposon silencing in *C. elegans*. *Cell* 99, 123–132. [Abstract Article](#)
- Tabara, H., Yigit, E., Siomi, H., and Mello, C.C. (2002). The dsRNA binding protein RDE-4 interacts with RDE-1, DCR-1, and a DEXH-box helicase to direct RNAi in *C. elegans*. *Cell* 109, 861–871. [Abstract Article](#)
- Tenenbaum, S.A., Carson, C.C., Lager, P.J., and Keene, J.D. (2000). Identifying mRNA subsets in messenger ribonucleoprotein complexes by using cDNA arrays. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 97, 14085–14090. [Abstract Article](#)
- Tenenbaum, S.A., Lager, P.J., Carson, C.C., and Keene, J.D. (2002). Ribonomics: identifying mRNA subsets in mRNP complexes using antibodies to RNA-binding proteins and genomic arrays. *Methods* 26, 191–198. [Abstract Article](#)
- Tenenhaus, C., Subramaniam, K., Dunn, M.A., and Seydoux, G. (2001). PIE-1 is a bifunctional protein that regulates maternal and zygotic gene expression in the embryonic germ line of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genes Dev.* 15, 1031–1040. [Abstract Article](#)
- Tijsterman, M., Okihara, K.L., Thijssen, K., and Plasterk, R.H. (2002). PPW-1, a PAZ/PIWI protein required for efficient germline RNAi, is defective in a natural isolate of *C. elegans*. *Curr. Biol.* 12, 1535–1540. [Abstract Article](#)
- Wang, L., Eckmann, C.R., Kadyk, L.C., Wickens, M., and Kimble, J. (2002). A regulatory cytoplasmic poly(A) polymerase in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature* 419, 312–316. [Abstract Article](#)
- Wickens, M., Bernstein, D., Crittenden, S., Luitjens, C., and Kimble, J. (2001). PUF proteins and 3'UTR regulation in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* germ line. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* 66, 337–343. [Abstract Article](#)
- Wickens, M., Goodwin, E.B., Kimble, J., Strickland, S., and Hentze, M.W. (2000). Translational control of developmental decisions. In *Translational control of gene expression*, N. Sorenberg, J.W.B. Hershey, and M.B. Mathews, eds. (Cold Spring Harbor: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press), pp. 295–370.
- Xu, L., Paulsen, J., Yoo, Y., Goodwin, E.B., and Strome, S. (2001). *Caenorhabditis elegans* MES-3 is a target of GLD-1 and functions epigenetically in germline development. *Genetics* 159, 1007–1017. [Abstract](#)
- Yoda, A., Sawa, H., and Okano, H. (2000). MSI-1, a neural RNA-binding protein, is involved in male mating behaviour in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genes Cells* 5, 885–895. [Abstract Article](#)

Zhang, B., Gallegos, M., Puoti, A., Durkin, E., Fields, S., Kimble, J., and Wickens, M.P. (1997). A conserved RNA-binding protein that regulates sexual fates in the *C. elegans* hermaphrodite germ line. *Nature* 390, 477–484.
[Abstract Article](#)



All WormBook content, except where otherwise noted, is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution License](#).